

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Moody
<b>Given Name</b>	Henry
<b>Person ID</b>	1286625
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Moody
<b>Given Name</b>	Henry
<b>Person ID</b>	1286625
<b>Title</b>	JPA 19: Bamford / Norden
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>There is no justification for building on the green belt land. If houses are required then use brown field sites.</p> <p>The infrastructure will not support a large number of new houses in Bamford. Traffic is already a major problem in rush hour and extra houses will lead to gridlock. The local schools are already more than full and I do not want to see a new school built on the football pitches/ tennis courts/ cricket ground.</p> <p>Existing GP facilities do not cope with the existing (and ageing) population. A new medical centre will be required.</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	I want to see JPA19 Bamford/Norden permanently removed from the PfE.